

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,959	VARADARAJ, RAMESH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Gary P. Katz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 4/29/05

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of page 4 lines 10-26 of the instant specification.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)